





Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Worrall was contacted because the allowed claim 69 was found to be improperly dependent from claim 69 in that it contained limitations referring to a chemical structure of a linker, which was not present in the independent claim 51 from which claim 69 depends. Previously pending claim 29 did contain the linker structure, and the examiner proposed to insert this structure into claim 69. Mr. Worrall responded that he had to consult with the Applicants..